

आयुक्त का कार्यालय Office of the Commissioner केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय Central GST, Appeals Ahmedabad Commissionerate जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी, अहमदाबाद-380015 GST Bhavan, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad-380015 Phone: 079-26305065 - Fax: 079-26305136 E-Mail : <u>commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in</u> Website : <u>www.cgstappealahmedabad.gov.in</u>



## By SPEED POST DIN:- 20240264SW000000E740

	D111 202402045 \$\$ 000000L/40					
(क)	फ़ाइल संख्या / File No.	GAPPL/COM/STP/4442/2023 / 2037 - 2041				
(ख)	अपील आदेश संख्याऔर दिनांक / Order-In –Appeal and date	AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-290/2023-24 and 27.02.2024				
(ग)	पारित किया गया / Passed By	श्री ज्ञानचंद जैन, आयुक्त (अपील) Shri Gyan Chand Jain, Commissioner (Appeals)				
(घ)	जारी करने की दिनांक / Date of Issue	28.02.2024				
(ङ)	Arising out of Order-In-Original No. CGST-VI/Dem-400/SAURABHBHAI/AC/DAP /2022-23 dated 15.03.2023 passed by The Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Division-VI, Ahmedabad South.					
(च)	अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता / Name and Address of the Appellant	M/s. Saurabhbhai Sharadbhai Shah, Corporation Bank Building, Opp. Navrangpura Bus Stand, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad - 380009				

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील-आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील अथवा पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है, जैसा कि ऐसे आदेश के विरुद्ध हो सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way.

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन:-

## Revision application to Government of India:

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूवोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली: 110001 को की जानी चाहिए :-

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid : -

(क) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानिकार खाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार मे हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग श्व उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।



1

In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

(घ) अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (न 2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(2) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतरमूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ का मुख्य शीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(3) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम होतो रूपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्नरकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवा कर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः-Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

 केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गतः-Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(2) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2<sup>nd</sup> माला, बहुमाली भवन, असरवा, गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद-380004।

To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2<sup>nd</sup>floor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad: 380004. In case of appeals other than as mentioned above para.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.



(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संषोधित की अनुसूची -1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूलआदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रतिपर रू 6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) एके प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्यमांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा कर्तव्य की मांग (Duty Demanded)।

(1) खंड (Section) 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;

- (2) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशिय;
- (3) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि।

यह पूर्व जमा ' लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना मेंए अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994).

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

(6) (i) इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."



## ORDER-IN-APPEAL

The present appeal has been filed by M/s Saurabhbhai Sharadbhai Shah, Corporation Bank Building, Opp. Navrangpura Bus Stand, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad- 380009 (hereinafter referred to as 'the appellant') against Order in Original No. CGST-VI/Dem-400/Saurabhbhai/AC/DAP/2022-23 dated 15.03.2023 [hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order'] passed by the Assistant Commissioner. CGST Division-VI. Ahmedabad South Commissionerate [hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority'].

2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the appellant were registered under Service Tax and were not holding PAN No.ADFPS9262G. As per information received from the Income Tax Department, it was observed that during the period F.Y. 2014-15 to F.Y.2017-18 (upto June-2017), the appellant had earned substantial service income by way of providing taxable services, but had neither obtain Service Tax Registration nor paid Service Tax thereon. Accordingly, in order to seek information, letter dated 24.07.2020 was issued to the appellant calling for the details of services provided during the period. The appellant submitted the documents regarding income earned by them. Further, the jurisdictional officers considering the services provided by the appellant as taxable, determined the Service Tax liability on the basis of value of 'Sales of Services' under Sales/Gross Receipts from Services (Value from ITR) and Form 26AS for the relevant period as per details below :

Sr.	Period	riod Differential		Service Tax
No.	(F.Y.)	Taxable Value as	Service Tax	liability to
		per Income Tax	incl. Cess	be
		Data (in Rs.)		demanded
				(in Rs.)
1.	2014-15	34,12,941/-	12.36%	4,21,840/-
2.	2015-16	40,03,181/-	15%	6,00,477/-
3.	2016-17	20,78,983/-	15%	3,11,848/-
4.	2017-18	2 00 E17/	15%	1 24 000 /
	(June-17)	8,99,517/-		1,34,928/-
	•	14,69,092/-		



3. The appellant was issued Show Cause Notice No. V/WS06/O&A/SCN-77/2020-21 dated 23.09.2020 (in short SCN) proposing to demand and recover Service Tax amounting to Rs.14,69,092/- under proviso to Section 73 of Finance Act, 1994 along with applicable interest and penalties.

4. The SCN was adjudicated vide the impugned order wherein :

- Service Tax demand of Rs.13,59,958/- was confirmed under Section 73(1) of the Finance Act, 1994 alongwith interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994.
- Penalty of Rs.13,59,958/- was imposed under Section 78 of the Finance Act,1994 with option for reduced penalty in terms of clause (ii).
- Penalty/late fees of Rs.1,40,000/- was imposed under Section 70 of the Finance Act, 1994 read with rule 7C of the Service Tax Rules, 1994.
- Penalty of Rs.10,000/- was imposed under Section 77(1) of the Finance Act, 1994.

5. Aggrieved by the impugned order, the appellant has preferred this appeal on following grounds:

- ➤ The appellant is one of the co-owner of the premises, which has been given on rent along with other family members who are also co-owners of the premises. The said premises were given on rent since 2012 to a Corporation Bank (now Union Bank of India). The Appellant is the proprietor of Arihant Trading Corporation.
- The Appellant has been called upon to submit clarification on whether the service income earned by them during the period 2014-15 is attributable to any of the negative hst services contained in Section 66D or is, for any reason, exempt from payment of service tax. The Appellant was called upon to submit Income Tax returns, FORM 26AS, VAT/Sales Tax Returns, Annual Bank account statements, Contract/agreements entered into with the persons for provisions of services, balance sheets,

and a reconciliation statement of service income reflected in the returns filed with Income Tax and VAT authorities. A copy of the letter dated 24.07.2020 is reproduced for reference.

- The Appellant, by its letter dated 20.08.2020, submitted the required documents as demanded. The Appellant clarified that Note 5 of the statement of income prescribed the nature of business, Le., Air Condition Hire Charges and Shares Trading, F & O business and Rent Income. The Appellant also clarified that they do not have the Service Tax as well as VAT registration numbers, and therefore, no returns have been filed. It was further stated in the said letter that the Appellant has the rent and AC Hire Chares income from Corporation Bank Ltd. A copy of the reply dated 20.08.2020 is produced for reference.
- ➤ The Appellant filed further submission at the time of the personal hearing by its letter dated 2.01.2023. The Appellant objected to the demand on the Air Condition Lease charges on the premise that the same is a deemed sale, and under Article 366 (29A) of the Constitution of India, it is subjected to Sales Tax by the concerned states as a deemed sale. A copy of the written submission dated 2.01.2023 is produced for reference.
- ➤ The Adjudicating Authority has confirmed the demand for the AC hiring Charges on the basis of the definition of the Supply of tangible goods referred to Section 65 (105) (zzzj) of the Finance Act, 1994, but not examine the fact that whether the AC was used without transferring rights of possession and effective control to the lessee or not. Further, the Adjudicating Authority has confirmed the demand on the AC hiring charges because the ITR declared said income in 'service head', and no VAT had been paid if it was a deemed sale. The income received from the AC hiring charges and the rent income had been clubbed and denied the threshold exemption, and confirmed the proposal made in the show cause notice.



- The Adjudicating Authority has confirmed the demand only on the basis of the ITR and no other independent findings to the effect of whether the service has been actually provided or not. The impugned order also does not decide how the transaction between the parties was of the supply of tangible goods and why the same could not be treated as deemed sale.
- ➤ The impugned order passed by the Adjudicating Authority is erroneous, perverse, without application of mind and without even taking into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Court that merely on the basis of the ITR, demand under Service Tax cannot be made.

6. Personal Hearing in the case was held on 19.02.2024. Shri Dhaval K. Shah, Advocate, appeared for personal hearing online. He reiterated the contents of the written submission and further gave the information that he submitted compilation of judgement today to this office. He requested to allow the appeal or remand the matter for reconsideration by the adjudicating authority.

7. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, submissions made in the Appeal Memorandum, oral submissions made during personal hearing and the facts available on records. The issue before me for decision in the present appeal is whether the demand for Service Tax amounting to Rs.13,59,958/- confirmed alongwith interest and penalties vide the impugned order in the facts and circumstances of the case, is legal and proper or otherwise. The demand pertains to the period F.Y. 2015-16.

8. I find that in the SCN in question, the demand has been raised for the period FY 2015-16 based on the Income Tax Returns filed by the appellant.

9. I also find that the appellant submitted various documents in support of their claim for exemption from service tax, which was not produced by them before the adjudicating authority and first time submitted at appeal stage. In this regard, I am of the considered view that the appellant cannot seek to establish their eligibility for exemption at the appellate stage by bypassing the adjudicating authority. They should have submitted the relevant records and documents before the adjudicating authority, who is best placed to verify the authenticity of the documents as well as their eligibility for exemption.

10. Considering the facts of the case as discussed hereinabove and in the interest of justice, I am of the considered view that the case is required to be remanded back to the adjudicating authority to examine the case on merits and also to consider the claim of the appellant for exemption from the service tax. The appellant is directed to submit all the records and documents in support of their claim for exemption from the service tax before the adjudicating authority. The adjudicating authority shall after considering the records and documents submitted by the appellant decide the case afresh by following the principles of natural justice.

11. In view of the above discussion, I remand the matter back to the adjudicating authority to reconsider the issue a fresh and pass a speaking order after following the principles of natural justice.

12. अपील कर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है |

The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

आयुक्त (अपील्स) Dated: <u>भ</u>्रे February, 2024



कमार क्षिके (अपील्स) सी जी एस टी, अहमदाबाद

## By REGD/SPEED POST A/D

To, M/s Saurabhbhai Sharadbhai Shah, Corporation Bank Building, Opp. Navrangpura Bus Stand, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad– 380009.

Copy to :

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner, CGST and Central Excise, Ahmedabad.
- 2. The Commissioner, CGST and Central Excise, Ahmedabad South.
- 3. The Assistant Commissioner, CGST & CEX, Division VI, Ahmedabad South Commissionerate.
- 4. The Superintendent (Systems), CGST, Appeals, Ahmedabad, for publication of OIA on website.
- 5. Guard file.
- б. PA File.



